



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x
JEANNE BELLINO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

24-cv-0712 (LAK)

STEVEN VICTOR TALLARICO a/k/a Steven Tyler,

Defendant
-----x

ORDER

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, *District Judge*.

Plaintiff moves for reconsideration of the Court's order granting defendant's unopposed motion to dismiss.¹ “[R]econsideration will generally be denied unless the moving party can point to controlling decisions or data that the court overlooked.”² But “[t]he purpose of a motion for reconsideration is . . . not to seek a different outcome on the basis of an argument that was not made in the first place.”³ This is precisely what plaintiff attempts to do in her memorandum in support of her motion.

Accordingly, the motion for reconsideration is denied. Plaintiff remains free to file a timely motion for leave to amend the complaint.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 7, 2024



Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge

1

Dkt 12.

2

Sacerdote v. New York Univ., 9 F.4th 95, 118 n.94 (2d Cir. 2021) (quoting *Shrader v. CSX Transp., Inc.*, 70 F.3d 255, 257 (2d Cir. 1995)).

3

See Shapira v. Charles Schwab & Co., 02-cv-0425 (LAK), 2002 WL 31307962, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 15, 2002).